

**From:** [Jennifer Gregerson](#)  
**To:** [Linda Ritter](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Shoreline Master Use Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 08, 2019 1:50:17 PM

---

Mayor Jennifer Gregerson  
425.263.8018

---

**De :** Lani O'Connor <loconnor26@frontier.com>  
**Envoyé :** Tuesday, October 8, 2019 10:42:02 AM  
**À :** Elected <elected@mukilteowa.gov>  
**Cc :** [kstoltz@citynetwork.com](mailto:kstoltz@citynetwork.com) <[kstoltz@citynetwork.com](mailto:kstoltz@citynetwork.com)>; Kendal Harr <[kendalharrfac@urikapathology.com](mailto:kendalharrfac@urikapathology.com)>  
**Objet :** Shoreline Master Use Plan

[WARNING: THIS MESSAGE HAS COME FROM A SENDER OUTSIDE THE CITY OF MUKILTEO NETWORK,]

Good Morning

As always I appreciate the work you all do to be informed and guide development to the best outcome for our community. I hope you vote to keep the 25' height - please DO NOT increase the height to 35' – for the future of our waterfront.

If, in the future, our elected and citizens decide to revise the height to 35' – that is something the future councils/citizens can do. It doesn't have to happen today. But, there is likely no going backwards from an application for a building approved at 35', parking garage or not. The Tribe has stated they do not have current plans for the property – so they can also revisit a request to raise the height in the future.

I was interested to hear the information from the Tulalip Tribe leaders last night and I am sure there is more to the story. I hope their statements regarding no parking structure are sincere and long-lasting. However, there were several things they said that cause concern regarding the use of the property.

- The tribe said they are thinking about what they want to do with the property. To me that means that they could easily change their mind and decide that a parking structure is a good revenue producer.
- Why would the Tribe want an exception to the 75' setback for parking – established by State Ecology – if they aren't planning on placing parking within that setback? A building would not

have to be setback 75' - depending on the use, it could be as close as 5' setback from the 15' promenade – 20' from the rock wall that exists now.

- They said “someone” promised them basically that they could do whatever they wanted on the property – big concern, if that is the case – since the promisor was making deals they likely couldn't keep or weren't theirs to make?
- Big concern if they went through this process and didn't know that the control over the zoning for the waterfront was in the hands of the City of Mukilteo and the citizens of Mukilteo. They should have done due diligence. So was this really a surprise?
- As they mentioned, the tribe is “buying up” property all over for the future of their children. I think that's great. It begs the question – if this is something they are regularly doing, why didn't they know there would be land use restrictions for building on the waterfront? There are land use restrictions everywhere else. And, wow – wish I had money to do that, it is a smart thing to do.
- If the Tribe chooses to pursue exceptions so they can put parking within 75' of the water. I would like to know about it. I was surprised to hear that the process was taking place. Please add me to the notices or direct me to where that is available.

Please be good stewards of our waterfront and vote to keep the height at 25'. As always, thank you all for listening.

Lani O'Connor  
Mukilteo