

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to <u>all parts of your proposal</u>, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the <u>SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D)</u>. Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Amendments to the Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan Capital Facilities Element, including a Six-Year 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and 20-year CIP

2. Name of applicant:

City of Mukilteo

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

11930 Cyrus Way Mukilteo WA 98275 425-263-8043

4. Date checklist prepared:

August 27, 2021

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Mukilteo

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The Mukilteo Planning Commission is expected to hold public hearing on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment/2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program on September 16, 2021 with the City Council taking final action on the update as early as November 8, 2021.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The proposed amendments include an update to the City's Six-Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to cover the 2022-2027 period and 20-year CIP list. The CIP is to be updated annually.

- 8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
 - SEPA environmental checklist for this proposal, signed August 27, 2021
 - Projects identified on the 2022-2027 CIP are from other adopted planning documents (e.g Shoreline Master Program, Parks Recreation Open Space and Arts (PROSA) Plan, Surface Water Management Comprehensive Plan) each of which underwent environmental review including, as examples:
 - Determination of Non-Significance, City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035, April 17, 2015
 - Determination of Non-Significance, Japanese Gulch Creek Master Plan, December 3, 2015
 - Determination of Non-Significance, Downtown Waterfront Master Plan, May 29, 2015
 - O Determination of Non-Significance, Surface Water Management Plan, July 6, 2015

Determination of Non-Significance, Parks, Recreation Open Space and Arts Plan,
 September 1, 2017

In the future, individual CIP propjects not otherwise exempt from SEPA will have environmental information prepared as part of the permitting process.

- 9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

 None at this time.
- 10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

For this proposal specifically, the following are required:

- SEPA Determination
- City Council Ordinance Adoption

For projects in the future:

- Individual projects listed in the CIP, not exempt from SEPA, will require SEPA and
 possibly NEPA determination, as well as possibly permits from State, federal and other
 agencies.
- Individual projects listed in the CIP will eventually require funding appropriation by the City Council

While not a government approval, the proposal is subject to the State agency 60 day review period per RCW 36.70A.106

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The City of Mukilteo is amending its Comprehensive Plan to update the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035. A 2022-2027 Six-Year CIP is proposed as part of this update. The 2022-2027 CIP is a six-year financial plan for City capital improvement projects.

Projects identified on the 2022-2027 CIP include improvements to both motorized and non-motorized transportation, parks, surface water infrastructure, and municipal facilities.

The updated 2022-2027 CIP includes a list of projects that the City may pursue and preliminary project costs for each project. The program includes projects throughout the entire City.

The proposal also includes an updated 20-year CIP project list.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Citywide. Projects identified in the CIP are located across the City.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

- 1. Earth
- a. General description of the site:

(circle one):	Flat, rolling,	hilly,	steep slopes,	mountainous,	other	
---------------	----------------	--------	---------------	--------------	-------	--

N/A. This is a non-project action. The City of Mukilteo's physical topography ranges from relatively flat lands to steep sloped ravines and coastal bluffs.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

N/A. This is a non-project action. The slopes in Mukilteo range from 0-100%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

N/A. This is a non-project action. The soils within the city are primarily Vashon Till (Glacial) and Sand with sand lenses. There are no farmlands.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, there are areas of the city that have been prone to landslides.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

N/A. This is a non-project action. During subsequent development, impervious surface coverage will be reviewed at the time of project permit submittal. Applicants have to meet the maximum hard surface limits outlined in the City's municipal code.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A. While this is a non-project action, as with any construction site and activities, erosion can occur during the construction phase(s) of a project. During the construction phase, all projects will be subject to Best Management Practices to prevent and control erosion and sedimentation as identified in the 2012 Department of Ecology Storm Water Management Manual for Western Washington. Construction activities will require the installation of

temporary erosion and sedimentation control measures such as silt fences, temporary holding/siltation ponds, use of straw bales, and/or hydroseeding of cleared areas.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action. During subsequent development, construction related emissions associated with machinery could be emitted, such as diesel fumes and construction dust.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. All development proposals will be required to use Best Management Practices which typically include turning off idling equipment or hauling trucks waiting to queue for either loading or unloading of material, keeping all large equipment in good working condition, wheel washing, street cleaning, and de-watering storm runoff, and adhering to a spill prevention plan. Construction hours will be limited by the City's noise ordinance.

- 3. Water
- a. Surface Water:
 - 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The City of Mukilteo is surrounded by Port Gardner Bay to the north and Possession Bay to the west. Physically the City and its urban growth area has fourteen (14) steep sloped ravines with small streams and drainage ways that feed into Possession Bay or Lake Washington.

Upland there are several wetland systems that feed into these ravines and drainage ways. Japanese, Big, and Picnic Point Gulches are the largest and most critical ravine and stream systems in the City. Lake Serene also lies within the City's MUGA area.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A. This is a non-project action, although certain projects on the Six-Year 2022-2027 are near water.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

N/A. This is a non-project action; however, waterfront certain projects on the Six-Year 2022-2027 may lie within the floodplain.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

The majority of the City is on public water and sewer. Properties subject to redevelopment or development are either currently being served by public services or will be required to connect to the public system prior to acceptance or occupancy of their proposed development.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

N/A. This non-project action. Rainwater runoff from from rooftops and/or impervious surfaces is tightlighted collected and collected in the City's storm water system. Subsequent development will be required to control storm water release rates to predevelopment conditions, in accordance with the Department of Ecology's stormwater standards, as a condition of permit approval.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action. During subsequent development, the drainage should follow the natural drainage pattern per the current Department of Ecology stormwater standards.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. During subsequent development, all improvements to the stormwater system shall meet the requirements of the Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater standards. Temporary erosion control measures will be required to control runoff, including use of silt fences, straw bales across drainage ways, placement of riprap, construction of temporary siltation/holding ponds, and use of oil/water separators. The limits of clearing and grading will be posted prior to any site disturbance.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

<u>_X</u>	_deciduous tree: aider, mapie, aspen, other
Х	_evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
Х	shrubs
X	_grass
	_pasture
	_crop or grain
	orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
	_wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
	_water plants: water lily, <u>eelgrass</u> , milfoil, other
х	_other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, in general, certain vegetation might be removed with construction, depending on the project.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known. This is a non-project action.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. Subsequent development will include, where applicable, installation of landscaping, and the designation of site sensitive buffers, open space tracts, and native growth protection areas and protection of critical areas per Mukilteo Municipal Code Chapter 17.52.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- 5. Animals
- a. <u>List</u> any birds and <u>other</u> animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: <u>hawk</u>, <u>heron</u>, <u>eagle</u>, <u>songbirds</u>, other: mammals: <u>dee</u>r, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Mukilteo and much of Western Washington lies within the Pacific Flyway for migratory birds.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A. The Comprehensive Plan contains policies requiring the protection of critical areas in the City. Any future development is required to meet the City's adopted critical area regulations, which include: steep slopes, wetlands, and fish and wildlife habitat (streams).

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- 6. Energy and Natural Resources
- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The City of Mukilteo is currently served with all the utilities necessary to serve an urban environment: public water, sewer, gas, power, telephone, and cable.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. Subsequent development involving buildings will be required to meet the minimum requirements of the State Energy Code. Other projects on the Six-Year CIP offer non-motorized alternatives to the use of vehicles.

- 7. Environmental Health
- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?

If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action. None known

Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

1) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

2) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

3) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services will be required due to the proposal. The City provides and will continue to provide full police and emergency services.

Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

The City will continue to provide emergency response to 911 calls. The Mukilteo Police, Fire, and Public Works Departments are experienced in containing and managing an on-site spill if one occurs. The Washington Strate Department of Ecology will be notified per standard procedures if needed. As a standard practice, an emergency response plan will be prepared by the contractors working individual projects and will be used in the event that an accident occurs during construction.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

There are several sources of noise within the City limits including, but not limited to: Washington State Ferries, Lighthouse fog horn, SR 525 traffic, Burlington Northern-Sante Fe Railroad, Paine Field Airport flights, emergency services, and vehicle traffic.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, the City does have adopted noise regulations

- 8. Land and Shoreline Use
- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This is a non-project action. There are no on-going and sustainable agricultural lands in the City of Mukilteo.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

N/A. This is a non-project action. There are no on-going and sustainable agricultural lands in the City of Mukilteo.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, there are critical areas in the City and the City has an adopted critical areas ordinance for their protection.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

i. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

N/A. This is a non-project action. None

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. There are no agricultural and forest lands within the city.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, there are recreational facitlies citywide. The Parks, Recreation, Open Space and Arts (PROSA) Plan adopted in 2017 includes an inventory of such facilities/opportunities.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, it should be noted that certain projects on the Six-Year 2022-2027 CIP are parks related and would add recreational features to the City, if implemented.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

The City of Mukilteo has a total of 10 properties listed on either the City, State, or Federal Register of Historic Places. These include:

- City Register: McNab-Hogland House, Epps House, Boys and Girls Club, Siemens House, and the Nelson House.
- State Register: Point Elliot Treaty Site, Mukilteo Pioneer Cemetery, and the Fowler Pear Tree Site.
- Federal Register: Mukilteo Light Station and Point Elliot Treaty Monument.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

 N/A. This is a non-project action.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

N/A. This is a non-project action. No changes to the historical sites identified on the local, state or national register are proposed as a part of the Comprehensive Plan amendment.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes, the City is served by Community Transit and Everett Transit with transit stops located in the area on SR525, 5th Street, Harbour Pointe Blvd., 47th Pl. W., and Chennault Beach Rd.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, the proposed Six-Year CIP identifies improvements to the non-motorized and motorized transportation system over the six year 2022-2027 period.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. However, certain projects on the Six-Year CIP are transportation related intended to improve the functioning of the motorized and non-motorized transportation system.

4	E	Di	hli	ia	90	mi.	ces
п	ວ.	ru	ЮI	IC	ъe	I V I	Les

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None

16. Utilities

 a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

All utilities are available in the City of Mukilteo

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Name of signee DANN OSAKI

Position and Agency/Organization CITY OF MUKILIED, COMMITY DEUELOPMENT

Date Submitted: 8/27/2021

DIRECTOR

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not result in increases to discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

The CIP proposes projects for the 2022-2027 period that may, when constructed, increase discharge to water, and emissions to air. Production of noise would occur during the construction and operation of capital improvement projects. Production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances is a more remote possibility.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Individual projects in the CIP will be required to demonstrate compliance with all regulations regarding discharge to water, emissions to air, production, storage of release of toxic or hazardous substances, or production of noise during the applicable environmental review and permitting process. All subsequent development will have to adhere to the regulations governed by the Washingoth State Department of Ecology for stormwater regulations, air quality control, and then City of Mukilteo construction hours for noise regulations.

It should also be menitoned that certain projects may improve air quality through offering more non-motorized transportation options.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not directly affect affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life.

The CIP proposes projects for the 2022-2027 period that may affect plants through removal of vegetation and displace some habitat for animals.

However, certain projects on the CIP, such as the Japanese Gulch Daylighting project include components specifically intended to improve and restore fisheries and animal habitat and also include the incorporation of vegetation. Benefits to plants, animals, fish, or marine life could result from individual projects, when implemented.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

As noted, certain projects on the 2022-2027 CIP have objectives to improve habitat. In addition, all CIP projects not exempt from SEPA will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and safety regulations. Landscaping is also typically a required component of capital projects, so additional landscaping is likely from certain capital projects.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not directly deplete energy or natural resources.

The CIP proposes projects for the 2022-2027 period that, during construction and during operations, would deplete energy or natural resources. Additional electricity, fuel and natural gas consumption, for instance, could result during othe construction and during operaion of the capital facility.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

All CIP projects not xempt from SEPA will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and safety regulations. This could for example, include intergrating energy conservation features, recycling and sustainability elements facilities, as applicable.

Also of note is that certain CIP projects when constructed, such as non-motorized improvements, may actually reduce energy consumption by offering alternatives to motorized transportation.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not directly likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands.

Projects on the 2022-2027 CIP could, when constructed, affect environmentally sensitive areas during construction or operation of the individual capital improvement project. Certain projects are in the floodplain for instance, others near areas of historic and cultural significance, while even others could affect wetlands or their buffers.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

All CIP projects, not exempt from SEPA, will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and safety regulations. Projects on the CIP will also be required to demonstrate compliance with all regulations regarding environmentally sensitive areas at the time of permitting.

The City also has adopted critical areas ordinance and flood hazard regulations that are applied to development porposals, as applicable, including capital projects.

Projects listed in the CIP may enhance or preserve environmentally sensitive areas through mitigation of impacts identified during the permitting process.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not directly affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans.

The CIP proposes projects for the 2022-2027 period that may affect land and shoreline use during construction or operation of the capital improvement project (e.g creating a new use (such as a new park in a shoreline area)). Improving drainage in an area, or a street/pedestrian project that requires right-of way dedication, are examples of ways land and shoreline use could be impacted; however, allowing a land or shoreline use incompatible with existing plans would not be likely at all.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

All CIP projects, not exempt from SEPA, will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and safety regulations. All projects are also screened for consistency with land use and shoreline jurisdiction regulations during the permitting process.

All applicable land use and shoreline permits will be required.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposal is to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the City of Mukilteo Comprehensive Plan 2035 including the adoption of the City of Mukilteo 2022-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Adoption of the proposal itself will not directly increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

The CIP proposes projects that are intended to respond to current and future transportation, recreational, surface water, and other capital facility needs and demands created in part through increased growth and development and changing public preferences for items such as transportation (e.g. growing preference for non-motorized transportation).

Projects may temporarily impact existing demand on the trasmportation system and public services such as fire and police during the construction of those projects. But this would be a marginal increase. Long term impacts to utilities and public services should be very minimal.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

All CIP projects not exempt from SEPA will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and other regulations. Public safety accessibility and services will be considered during permitting of new capital facilties, and CPTED principles will be considered during project design.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

It is not anticipated that the proposal conflicts with local, state or federal laws or requirements for projection of the environment. All projects will be subject to environmental review and be required to comply with applicable environmental and safety regulations, and will obtain necessary permits for the specific project. The proposal serves to bring the City's Comprehensive Plan into compliance with RCW 36.70A.070(3).