



11930 Cyrus Way • Mukilteo, WA • 98275

City Council Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Wednesday, April 5, 2017

Special Meeting Time: 4:30-6:00 p.m.

Executive Conference Room

Meeting Report

Attendees:

Committee Members: Councilmember Emery, Councilmember Cook,
Councilmember Whelpley

City Staff: Community Development Director Love and Senior Planner Ritter

Absent: Chamber President and CEO Martin

Guest: Charlie Torres, Terminal Design Engineer, Washington State Ferries
(WSF)

Meeting Objective:

1. Approve Meeting Notes of January 18 and February 15, 2017 meeting
The Committee approved the meeting notes as presented

2. Presentation on Waste Management 2016 City of Mukilteo Annual Report
There was no presentation for this item

3. Washington State Ferries (WSF) Multimodal Terminal Mitigation Agreement
Director Love stated City staff and Ferry representatives have been meeting regularly on the Mukilteo Terminal Relocation project. WSF is nearing the final design phase of their project and has committed to a construction strategy that divides the Phase II project into the four separate contracts:

Phase	Advertisement Date
Pile Procurement (Phase II A)	Completed
Trestle and Bridge Seat (Phase II B)	May 2017
Deep Stormwater Utility (Phase II C)	June 2017
Terminal Construction (Phase II D)	September 2017

This first agreement going before City Council at the April 10th work session is for Phase IIB and C. This agreement meets the conditions outlined in the hearing examiner's decision for traffic mitigation and allows the City to issue permits to

WSF. Future agreement required prior to permit issuance for the terminal construction include:

- Terminal Noise Operations Plan
- Signage Plan
- Security Fence Design
- Temporary Ped Crossing on SR 525 (2nd Street)
- Bird Nesting & Resting Plan

Charlie Torres of WSF stated approval of the first agreement is critical because it allows WSF to advertise Phase IIB and C in order to begin construction in July for the trestle work. The trestle work must be done within a specific window (July through February) per the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers for protection of the marine life and minimize environmental impacts. If the work is not completed within this window, the project will be delayed for another year.

Director Love stated several joint City/WSF open houses were held on the project to gather public comments on the project and potential mitigation measures. Over two hundred participants attended these open houses and generated comments on: project design, noise, construction hours, pedestrian access, multimodal connections, construction schedules, improvements to SR 525, haul routes, vibration impacts and construction easements. WSF and the City have taken that information and have been negotiating the terms of a mitigation agreement such as:

- Restricting construction and hauling hours;
- Providing pedestrian access along the waterfront during construction;
- Providing traffic improvements at the intersection of 5th Street and SR525
- Implementing technology that adjust signal timing automatically in response to real time traffic demands at the terminal and 5th Street; and provide a flashing beacon and marked crossing at Goat Trail Road.

Mr. Torres stated WSF has also addressed concerns regarding vibration during the installation of the piling for the trestle by offering free home inspections and placing equipment in the area to monitor the effects of the vibration during construction.

The Committee commended staff and WSF for their hard working and addressing the concerns voiced by the citizens.

3. T-Mobile Request

The staff was contacted by T-Mobile inquiring as to whether or not the City would be interested in entering into a lease agreement for a potential wireless communication facility (WCF) at Rosehill Community Center. T-Mobile is interested in possibility placing an enclosed antenna array on the rooftop of the Community Center or a WCF designed to look like a flag pole to be located in an agreed upon area. T-Mobile submitted the following design proposal for the rooftop attachment:

- Install a 12' tall screen wall on the large decorative pillar;
- The screen wall would be designed to blend with the appearance and color of the building;
- The antenna equipment would be located behind the new screen wall;
- 3 cabinets would be located on the rooftop landing below, near the existing HVAC equipment;

- Power and fiber cabling would be brought from the nearest sources, which would extend to the cabinets and then to the antennas;
- A conduit or sheath could be used for the power and fiber cabling to help screen it from view;
- T-Mobile would have a separate power meter if possible, otherwise T-Mobile would need to submeter. A payment arrangement would be made if a submeter is needed; and
- 24/7 access to the equipment is desired, a tech will visit the site about once a month unless there is an emergency, in which case the tech would need to visit the site immediately to restore service.

The committee was not in favor of T-Mobile's proposal and asked staff to continue talks with T-Mobile to determine if there were other alternatives or locations for the proposed wireless facility.

4. Meeting Time

The Committee members requested that their regular meeting time be changed from 5:30 pm to 4:30 pm.